

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/418,818	10/15/1999	DAVID CHEUNG	AM1084D01/T9	9377
7	590 07/31/2002			
Patent Counsel, MS/2061			EXAMINER	
Legal Affairs Dept Applied Materials, Inc. P.O. Box 450A Santa Clara, CA 95052			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
J, J.	1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1763	14
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A, ant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/418,818	CHEUNG ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rudy Zervigon	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · —	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 44-62</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappi	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
	GR	EGORY MILLS				
	SUPERVISO TECHNO	RY PATENT EXAMINER LOGY CENTER 1700				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Continuation of 2. NOTE: The majority of the response centers on the influence inert gas additions have on deposition rates. As was stated in the final action, Felts clearly demonstrates the influence that controlled helium additions have on the deposition rates by relating electron density to the deposition rate (column 10, lines 47-50) with the appreciation that inert gass atoms have the largest (octet) collection of electrons for any group in the periodic table. The subsequent ionization of the noble gas atoms provide a large source of electrons relative to group elements other than group 8. Yet further affirmation of Felt's reasoning is exhibitted by M.K. Puchert et al - "Selection of appropriate current density and energy of *noble gas ions by pressure* and substrate bias control enables the growth conditions of thin films to be optimized...We have demonstrated that large current densities of gas ions may be created when noble gasses are introduced..." (Conclusion). Figure 6 of M.K. Puchert et al supports the collected reasoning.